

PERMUTATION REPRESENTATIONS ON INVERTIBLE MATRICES

YONA CHERNIAVSKY, ELI BAGNO

ABSTRACT. We discuss permutation representations which are obtained by the natural action of $S_n \times S_n$ on some special sets of invertible matrices, defined by simple combinatorial attributes. We decompose these representations into irreducibles. The multiplicities involved have a nice combinatorial interpretation. We also generalize known results on asymptotic behavior of the conjugacy representation of S_n .

1. INTRODUCTION

The $(0,1)$ -matrices have a wide variety of applications in combinatorics as well as in computer science. A lot of research had been devoted to this area. By considering the set of $n \times n$ $(0,1)$ -matrices as a boolean monoid and relating them to posets, one can get interesting representations of S_n . Note that $S_n \times S_n$ acts on matrices by permuting rows and columns. Some aspects of the corresponding equivalence relation are treated in [I] and [Li]. A simultaneous lexicographic ordering of the rows and the columns using this action is shown in [MM].

The above action of $S_n \times S_n$ gives rise to a permutation representation of $S_n \times S_n$ on $(0,1)$ -matrices. If we diagonally embed S_n in $S_n \times S_n$ we get a generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n .

Adin and Frumkin [AF] showed that the conjugacy character of the symmetric group is close, in some sense, to the regular character of S_n . More precisely, the quotient of the norms of the regular character and the conjugacy character as well as the cosine of the angle between them tend to 1 when n tends to infinity. This implies that these representations have essentially the same decompositions.

Roichman [R] further points out a wide family of irreducible representations of S_n whose multiplicity in the conjugacy representation is asymptotically equal to their dimension, i.e. their multiplicity in the regular representation.

In this paper we use the action of $S_n \times S_n$ on the $(0,1)$ -matrices to define two families of representations on a family of orbits of this action. The first family forms an interpolation between the regular representation of $S_n \times S_n$ and the 'diagonal sum' of the irreducible representations of S_n : $\bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$. The other family is a generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n . In both cases we calculate characters and present the decomposition of these representations into irreducibles. The second family of representations can be seen as an extension of the results of [AF] and [R].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give some preliminaries on permutation groups and their conjugacy classes and on representations of S_n . In Sections 3 and 3.1 we define the actions of $S_n \times S_n$ and of S_n on invertible matrices. In Sections 4 and 5 we specialize them into the case of $(0,1)$ -matrices.

We also discuss the two permutation representation they give rise to and calculate their characters. In Section 6 we show some asymptotic results concerning the generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n . In Section 7 we decompose the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ mentioned above into irreducible representations. In Section 8 we consider the actions described above on color permutation groups instead of $(0,1)$ -matrices.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Permutation Groups. S_n is the group of all bijections from the set $\{1 \dots n\}$ to itself. Every $\pi \in S_n$ may be written in *disjoint cycle form* usually omitting the 1-cycles of π . For example, $\pi = 365492187$ may also be written as $\pi = (9, 7, 1, 3, 5)(2, 6)$. Given $\pi, \tau \in S_n$ let $\pi\tau := \pi \circ \tau$ (composition of functions) so that, for example, $(1, 2)(2, 3) = (1, 2, 3)$. Note that two permutations are conjugate in S_n if and only if they have the same cycle structure. In this paper we write $\pi \sim \sigma$ if the permutations π and σ are conjugate in S_n . We denote by $\hat{S}_n$ the set of conjugacy classes of S_n and by $C_\pi \leq S_n$ the centralizer subgroup of the element $\pi \in S_n$. Let $C(\pi) \subseteq S_n$ denote the conjugacy class of the element $\pi \in S_n$. By $\text{supp}(\pi)$ we mean the set of digits which are not fixed by π . An element $\pi \in S_n$ with $|\text{supp}(\pi)| = t$ can be considered as an element of S_t and then C_π^t denotes the centralizer subgroup of the element π in S_t while $C^t(\pi)$ denotes the conjugacy class of the element π in S_t . $\pi_k \pi_{n-k}$ denotes an element of $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ where $\pi_k \in S_k$ and $\pi_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}$. $C^{k \times (n-k)}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k})$ denotes the conjugacy class of the element $\pi_k \pi_{n-k}$ in $S_k \times S_{n-k}$.

There is an obvious embedding of S_n in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ where $\mathbb{F}$ is any field. Just think about a permutation $\pi \in S_n$ as an $n \times n$ matrix obtained from the identity matrix by permutations of the rows. More explicitly: for every permutation $\pi \in S_n$ we identify π with the matrix:

$$[\pi]_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = \pi(j) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2.2. Color permutation groups. For later use, we define here the color permutation groups. For $r, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $G_{r,n}$ denote the group of all n by n monomial matrices whose non-zero entries are complex r -th roots of unity. This group can also be described as the wreath product $C_r \wr S_n$ which is the semi-direct product $C_r^n \rtimes S_n$, where C_r^n is taken as the subgroup of all diagonal matrices in $G_{r,n}$. For $r = 1$, $G_{r,n}$ is just S_n while for $r = 2$, $G_{r,n} = B_n$, the Weyl group of type B .

2.3. Representations.

2.3.1. Permutation representations. In this work we deal mainly with permutation representations. Given an action of a group G on a set M , the appropriate representation space is the space spanned by the elements of M on which G acts by linear extension. We list two well known facts about permutation representations.

Fact 2.1. *The character of the permutation representation calculated at some $g \in G$ equals to the number of fixed points under g .*

Fact 2.2. *The multiplicity of the trivial representation in a given permutation representation is equal to the number of orbits under the corresponding action.*

An important example we will use extensively in this work is the conjugacy representation which is the permutation representation obtained by the action of the group on itself by conjugation.

2.3.2. Representations of S_n . Let n be a nonnegative integer. A *partition* of n is an infinite sequence of nonnegative integers with finitely many nonzero terms $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$, where $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = n$.

The sum $\sum \lambda_i = n$ is called the *size* of λ , denoted $|\lambda|$; write also $\lambda \vdash n$. The number of parts of λ , $\ell(\lambda)$, is the maximal j for which $\lambda_j > 0$. The unique partition of $n = 0$ is the *empty partition* $\emptyset = (0, 0, \dots)$, which has length $\ell(\emptyset) := 0$. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k, \dots)$ define the *conjugate partition* $\lambda' = (\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_i, \dots)$ by letting λ'_i be the number of parts of λ that are $\geq i$ ($\forall i \geq 1$).

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ may be viewed as the subset

$$\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

the corresponding *Young diagram*. Using this interpretation we may speak of the intersection $\lambda \cap \mu$, the set difference $\lambda \setminus \mu$ and the symmetric set difference $\lambda \Delta \mu$ of any two partitions. Note that $|\lambda \Delta \mu| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k - \mu_k|$.

It is well known that the irreducible representations of S_n are indexed by partitions of n (See for example [Sa]) and the representations of $S_n \times S_n$ are indexed by pairs of partitions (λ, μ) where $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$. For every two representations of S_n , λ and ρ , we denote by $m(\lambda, \rho)$ the multiplicity of λ in ρ . If we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard scalar product of characters of a finite group G i.e.

$$\langle \chi_1, \chi_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\pi \in G} \chi_1(\pi) \overline{\chi_2(\pi)}$$

then $m(\lambda, \rho) = \langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_\rho \rangle$.

Similarly, $m((\lambda, \mu), \varphi)$ denotes the multiplicity of the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ corresponding to the pair of partitions (λ, μ) , $\lambda \vdash n$, $\mu \vdash n$ in the decomposition of φ , where φ is any representation of $S_n \times S_n$.

We cite here for later use the branching rule for the representations of S_n . We start with a definition needed to state the branching rule.

Definition 2.3. Let $\lambda \vdash n$ be a Young diagram. Then a *corner* of λ is a cell $(i, j) \in \lambda$ such whose removal leaves the Young diagram of a partition. Any partition obtained by such a removal is denoted by λ^- .

Proposition 2.4. [Sa] If $\lambda \vdash n$ then

$$S^\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-1}}^{S_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda^-} S^{\lambda^-}.$$

3. THE ACTION OF $S_n \times S_n$ ON INVERTIBLE MATRICES

Definition 3.1. Let G be a subgroup of $S_n \times S_n$ and let $\mathbb{F}$ be any field. We define an action of G on the group $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ by

$$(\pi, \sigma) \bullet A = \pi A \sigma^{-1} \text{ where } (\pi, \sigma) \in G \text{ and } A \in GL_n(\mathbb{F}) \quad (1)$$

It is easy to see that this really defines a group action.

In this work we deal only with the cases: $G = S_n \times S_n$ and $G = (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a finite subset of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$, invariant under the action of $S_n \times S_n$ defined above. We denote by α_M the permutation representation of G obtained from the action (1). In the sequel we identify the action (1) with the permutation representation α_M associated with it.

3.1. A generalization of the conjugacy representation of S_n . In this section we present a conjugacy representation of S_n on a subset M of $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$.

Definition 3.3. Denote by β the permutation representation of S_n obtained by the following action on M .

$$\pi \circ A = (\pi, \pi) \bullet A = \pi A \pi^{-1} \quad (2)$$

The connection between α_M and β_M is given by the following easily seen claim:

Claim 3.4. Consider the diagonal embedding of S_n into $S_n \times S_n$. Then

$$\beta_M = \alpha_M \downarrow_{S_n \times S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.5. For every finite set $M \subseteq GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ invariant under the action (1) of $S_n \times S_n$ defined above:

If π and σ are conjugate in S_n then

$$\chi_{\alpha_M}((\pi, \sigma)) = \chi_{\alpha_M}((\pi, \pi)) = \chi_{\beta_M}(\pi) = \#\{A \in M \mid \pi A = A\pi\}.$$

If π is not conjugate to σ in S_n then

$$\chi_{\alpha_M}((\pi, \sigma)) = 0.$$

Proof. See Theorem 4.5 in [CS]. $\square$

Example 3.6. Take $M = S_n$ (embedded in $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ as permutation matrices). In this case β_M is just the conjugacy representation of S_n and a direct calculation shows that for every $\pi \in S_n$:

$$\chi_{\beta_M}(\pi) = |C_\pi| = \chi_{\alpha_M}(\pi, \pi).$$

For every irreducible representation of S_n corresponding to a partition λ one has:

$$m(\lambda, \beta_M) = \sum_C \chi_\lambda(C) \text{ where } C \text{ runs over all conjugacy classes of } S_n.$$

Moreover,

$$m((\lambda, \lambda), \alpha_M) = 1,$$

and

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_M) = 0 \text{ when } \lambda \neq \mu.$$

This means that $\alpha_M \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$ where S^λ is the irreducible S_n -module corresponding to λ . This fact will be implied by substitution $k = 0$ in Proposition 7.

4. THE ACTION OF $S_n \times S_n$ ON $(0,1)$ -MATRICES

In this section we specialize the action (1) of $S_n \times S_n$ defined in Section 3 to $(0,1)$ -matrices. Consider the group $G = GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. For every $A \in G$ denote by $o(A)$ the number of nonzero entries in A . One can associate with A a pair of partitions of $o(A)$ with n parts $(\eta(A), \theta(A))$ where $\eta(A)$ describes the distribution of nonzero entries in the rows of A and $\theta(A)$ describes the same distribution for columns. For example, if:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\eta(A) = (4, 3, 1, 1) \vdash 9$ and $\theta(A) = (3, 3, 2, 1) \vdash 9$.

If we fix a pair of partitions (η, θ) then the set of matrices corresponding to (η, θ) is closed under the action (1), but this action is not necessarily transitive on such a set, i.e. it can be decomposed into a union of several orbits. For example, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

then $\eta(A) = \theta(A) = \eta(B) = \theta(B) = (2, 2, 1, 1)$ but it can be easily shown that $A \neq \pi B \sigma$ for any $\pi, \sigma \in S_4$.

We present now a family of subsets of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ which will be proven shortly to be orbits of our action:

Definition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^0 &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = \theta(A) = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1) = 1^n\} \\ H_n^1 &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, 1, 1, \dots, 1), \theta(A) = (2, 2, \dots, 2, 1) = 2^{n-1} 1\} \\ H_n^2 &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, n-1, 1, \dots, 1), \theta(A) = (3, 3, \dots, 3, 2, 1) = 3^{n-2} 2 1\} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ H_n^k &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, n-1, \dots, n-(k-1), 1, \dots, 1), \\ &\quad \theta(A) = (k+1, k+1, \dots, k+1, k, k-1, \dots, 2, 1) = (k+1)^{n-k} k(k-1) \dots 2 1\} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ H_n^n &= \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = \theta(A) = (n, n-1, n-2, \dots, n-(k-1), \dots, 3, 2, 1)\} \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the above example $A \in H_4^2$.

A few remarks on the sets H_n^k are in order: First, note that $|H_n^k| = n!(n)_k$. Secondly, note that H_n^0 is S_n , embedded as permutation matrices. Also note that the set $H_n^0 \cup H_n^1$ is closed under matrix multiplication and matrix inversion and is actually isomorphic to the group S_{n+1} . Another simple observation is that $H_n^n = H_n^{n-1}$.

In order to prove that the sets H_n^k are transitive under the action we need the following definition:

Definition 4.2. Denote by $U_{n,k}$ the following binary $n \times n$ matrix : the upper left $k \times k$ block is upper triangular with the upper triangle filled by ones, the upper right $k \times (n-k)$ block is filled by ones, the lower left $(n-k) \times k$ block is the zero matrix and the lower right $(n-k) \times (n-k)$ block is the identity matrix I_{n-k} .

Proposition 4.3. Each set H_n^k is transitive under the action (1). More explicitly, $H_n^k = \{\pi U_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\}$

Proof. We will prove that $U_{n,k}$ is a representative of H_n^k .

Take an arbitrary matrix $A \in H_n^k$. By the definition of H_n^k , A has a unique row which is filled by ones. Choose a permutation matrix π_1 such that this row is the first row of $\pi_1 A$. By the definition of H_n^k , $\pi_1 A$ has a unique column of type $(1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$, thus one can choose a permutation matrix σ_1 such that this is the first column of $\pi_1 A \sigma_1$. Now consider the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ sub matrix A_1 obtained from $\pi_1 A \sigma_1$ by deleting the first row and the first column. It is easy to see that $A_1 \in H_{n-1}^{k-1}$ and we can repeat the process described above. Note that the first row and the first column of A will not be changed since their entries numbered $2..n$ are identical. (only 1's in the first row, only 0's in the first column. Continuing this way we get

$$\pi_t \pi_{t-1} \cdots \pi_1 A \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{t-1} \sigma_t = U_{n,k}$$

which proves that $H_n^k \subseteq \{\pi U_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\}$. The other inclusion, i.e. $\{\pi U_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\} \subseteq H_n^k$, follows directly from the definitions of $U_{n,k}$ and H_n^k . $\square$

In particular

$$H_n^0 = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\} = S_n$$

$$H_n^1 = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\}$$

$$H_n^3 = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\}$$

.....

$$H_n^n = \left\{ \pi \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n \right\}$$

For the case $k = n$ the permutation representation $\alpha_{H_n^n}$ can be easily described:

Proposition 4.4. *The representation $\alpha_{H_n^n}$ is isomorphic to the regular representation of $S_n \times S_n$.*

Proof. As was shown in the proof of Proposition 4.3, $H_n^n = \{\pi U_{n,n} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\}$, where $U_{n,n}$ is the upper triangular matrix with all the upper triangle filled by ones. Define a bijection $\varphi : H_n^n \rightarrow S_n \times S_n$ by $\varphi(\pi U_{n,n} \sigma) = (\pi, \sigma^{-1})$. Since each row (column) of $U_{n,n}$ has a different number of 1-s (from 1 to n), we have: $\pi_1 U_{n,n} \sigma_1 = \pi_2 U_{n,n} \sigma_2$ if and only if $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ and $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2$. This means that the mapping φ is well defined and bijective. Now:

$$(\omega, \tau) \bullet (\pi U_{n,n} \sigma) = \omega \pi U_{n,n} \sigma \tau^{-1} \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\omega \pi, \tau \sigma^{-1}) = (\omega, \tau)(\pi, \sigma^{-1}).$$

Thus φ is an isomorphism of $S_n \times S_n$ -modules between H_n^n and the (left) regular representation of $S_n \times S_n$. $\square$

4.1. A natural mapping from H_n^k onto S_n . In this section we present a surjection between the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ on H_n^k to the representation of $S_n \times S_n$ on S_n . We will use this mapping later when we decompose the permutation representation α into irreducibles representations.

We deal first with H_n^n .

Definition 4.5. *Define the mapping $t : H_n^n \rightarrow S_n$ by*

$$(\pi U_{n,n} \sigma) \mapsto \pi \sigma.$$

This mapping is well defined since by the definition of $U_{n,n}$

$$\pi U_{n,n} \sigma = \rho U_{n,n} \tau \iff \pi = \rho \text{ and } \sigma = \tau.$$

It is easy to see that this mapping is a surjection and, moreover, $|t^{-1}(\pi)| = n!$ for any $\pi \in S_n$. (Indeed, any fixed $\pi \in S_n$ can be represented in exactly $n!$ ways in the form $\pi = xy$ since choosing $x \in S_n$ in $n!$ ways we must take $y = x^{-1}\pi$).

Proposition 4.6. *The mapping t preserves the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on H_n^n , i.e.*

$$t(\pi A \sigma) = \pi t(A) \sigma \text{ for any } A \in H_n^n.$$

Proof. Any $A \in H_n^n$ can be represented $A = \rho U_{n,n} \tau$ for some $\rho, \tau \in S_n$. Thus by the definition of t we have

$$t(\pi A \sigma) = t(\pi \rho U_{n,n} \tau \sigma) = \pi \rho \tau \sigma = \pi t(\rho U_{n,n} \tau) \sigma = \pi t(A) \sigma.$$

$\square$

Now, in a similar way, for every $n, 1 \leq k \leq n$ we define to $T_{n,k} : H_n^k \rightarrow S_n$ as follows:

Definition 4.7. Define the mapping $T_{n,k} : H_n^k \longrightarrow S_n$ by $T_{n,k}(\pi U_{n,k} \sigma) = \pi \sigma$.

Notice that unlike the case of the sets H_n^n , here we have to show that the mapping $T_{n,k}$ is well defined since a matrix $A \in H_n^k$ can be written in the form $\pi U_{n,k} \sigma$ in more than one way. Indeed, $T_{n,k}$ is well defined since if $\pi U_{n,k} \sigma = U_{n,k}$ then as the first k rows (columns) of $U_{n,k}$ are different, we have $\pi, \sigma \in \{e\} \times S_{n-k}$. Thus we can write $\pi = \pi_{n-k}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_{n-k}$ and it is easy to see that one must have: $\pi \sigma = e$ which says that $T_{n,k}(\pi U_{n,k} \sigma) = e = T_{n,k}(U_{n,k})$.

Just as was the case in H_n^n we have that:

Proposition 4.8. The mapping $T_{n,k}$ preserves the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on H_n^k , i.e.

$$T_{n,k}(\pi A \sigma) = \pi T_{n,k}(A) \sigma \text{ for any } A \in H_n^k. \quad \square$$

It is also clear from the definition that $T_{n,k}$ is onto and it is easy to see that $|T_{n,k}^{-1}(\pi)| = k! \binom{n}{k} = (n)_k$.

5. THE REPRESENTATION β_M FOR $M = H_n^k$.

In [F] it was proven that the conjugacy representation of S_n contains every irreducible representation of S_n as a constituent. The representation β defined in Section 3.1 is a type of a conjugacy representation of S_n on H_n^k .

Proposition 5.1. Denote the conjugacy representation of S_n by ψ . Then every irreducible representation of S_n is a constituent in $\beta_{H_n^k}$. In other words

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n.$$

where $m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k})$ denotes the multiplicity of the irreducible representation corresponding to λ in $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

Proof. Denote the conjugacy representation of S_n by ψ . In [F] it is shown that $m(\lambda, \psi) > 0$ for any $\lambda \vdash n$. By proposition 4.8, $T_{n,k}$ commutes with the conjugacy representation of S_n and thus it gives rise to an epimorphism from $\beta_{H_n^k}$ onto the conjugacy representation of S_n . Hence, by Schur Lemma,

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) \geq m(\lambda, \psi) > 0. \quad \square$$

We turn now to the calculation of the character of $\beta_{H_n^k}$. By the definition, we have:

$$\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) (= \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \pi)) = \#\{A \in H_n^k \mid \pi A = A\pi\}$$

but we can achieve much more than that:

Proposition 5.2.

$$\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) = |C_\pi|(n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k \chi_{\text{Conj}}(\pi)$$

where χ_{Conj} is the conjugacy character of S_n .

Proof. Denote $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)|$. We can assume that π is of the following form:

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_t & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-t} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\pi_t \in S_t$ without fixed points.

We have to calculate the number of matrices $A \in H_n^k$ which commute with π . We recall the definition of H_n^k :

$$H_n^k = \{A \in G \mid \eta(A) = (n, n-1, \dots, n-(k-1), 1, \dots, 1), \\ \theta(A) = (k+1, k+1, \dots, k+1, k, k-1, \dots, 2, 1) = (k+1)^{n-k} k(k-1) \dots 21\}$$

For every $A \in H_n^k$ denote by $\delta(A)$ the vector of the row sums of A and by $\varepsilon(A)$ the vector of the column sums of A .

For example:

If

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H_4^2$$

then $\delta(A) = (1, 3, 1, 4) \models 9$ and $\varepsilon(A) = (3, 2, 3, 1) \models 9$.

Note that every $A \in H_n^k$ has k rows with row sums ranging from n to $n-k+1$, these will be called 'long rows'. The other $n-k$ rows are monomial. Likely, A has k columns with column sums ranging from 1 to k , these will be called 'short columns'. The other $n-k$ columns have $k+1$ 1-s each.

In πA only the first t rows of A are permuted while in $A\pi$ only the first t columns of A are permuted.

Note also that for every $\pi \in S_n$ we have: $\delta(A\pi) = \delta(A)$ and $\varepsilon(\pi A) = \varepsilon(A)$.

Since all of the 'long' rows of A have different row sums, if one of the first t rows of A is 'long' then $\delta(\pi A) \neq \delta(A) = \delta(A\pi)$ and thus $A\pi \neq \pi A$. Hence we can assume that all the 'long' rows in A are located after the first t rows of A . This implies that the first t rows of A are monomial. By similar arguments, the 'short' columns are located after the first t columns.

We claim now that the upper right $t \times (n-t)$ block of A is the zero matrix. (Indeed, if $A_{i,j} = 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq t$ and $t+1 \leq j \leq n$ then for each $1 \leq i' \leq t$ with $i' \neq i$ we have: $A_{i',j} \neq 1$ since A is invertible. Now, in πA this 1 moves to another place while in $A\pi$ it is left in its original position).

Note that we have now that the upper left $t \times t$ block of A is a permutation matrix which commutes with π_t in S_t .

We turn now to the lower left $(n-t) \times t$ block of A . This block has k 'long' rows and $n-t-k$ monomial rows. Note that by the consideration we described above, the monomial rows contribute only 0-s to this block. On the other hand, since the 'short' columns are located after the first t columns, for every $1 \leq j \leq t$ the column numbered j has exactly $k+1$ 1-s. But for each j one of this 1-s must be located at the upper left $t \times t$ block since this block is a permutation matrix, hence the 'long' rows contribute only 1-s to the lower left $(n-t) \times t$ block.

Finally, as can be easily seen, the lower right $(n-t) \times (n-t)$ block is an arbitrary matrix of H_{n-t}^k .

Now calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) &= \#\{A \in H_n^k \mid \pi A = A\pi\} = \\ &= |C_\pi^t| |H_{n-t}^k| = |C_\pi^t| (n-t)! (n-t)_k = \\ &= |C_\pi| (n-t)_k = (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k \chi_{\text{Conj}}(\pi) \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $\chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \pi) = \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) = 0$ when $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)| > n - k$. On the other hand, if $n - t \geq k$ then $\chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \pi) = \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) \neq 0$.

We turn now to the calculation of the multiplicity of every irreducible representation of S_n in $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\lambda \vdash n$.*

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C)(n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k$$

where $\hat{S}_n$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes of S_n .

Proof. As above $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)|$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_\lambda, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle = \frac{1}{|S_n|} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi) = \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) |C_\pi| (n - t) = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \frac{n!}{|C(\pi)|} (n - t)_k = \\ &= \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \frac{1}{|C(\pi)|} (n - t)_k = \\ &= \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} |C| \chi_\lambda(C) \frac{1}{|C|} (n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) (n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k \end{aligned}$$

□

6. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE REPRESENTATION $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

In this section we generalize the results of Roichman [R], Adin, and Frumkin [AF] concerning the asymptotic behavior of the conjugacy representation of S_n . These two results imply that the conjugacy representation and the regular representation of S_n have essentially the same decomposition. In our case, as we prove in this section, the representation $\beta_{H_n^k}$ is essentially $(n)_k$ times the regular representation of S_n . We start by citing the result from [R].

Theorem R1 Let $m(\lambda)$ be the multiplicity of the irreducible representation S^λ in the conjugacy representation of S_n , and let f^λ be the multiplicity of S^λ in the regular representation of S_n . Then for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda)}{f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

The following generalization of this theorem is straightforward:

Proposition 6.1. *For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$, and for any $k \leq n$*

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k})}{(n)_k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. In [R] it is shown that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$|m(\lambda) - f^\lambda| = \left| \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) - f^\lambda \right| = \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) \right| \leq \varepsilon f^\lambda,$$

which immediately implies Theorem R1. In our case we have the trivial observation $(n - |supp(C)|)_k \leq (n)_k$ which together with the above gives us

$$\begin{aligned} |m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) - (n)_k f^\lambda| &= \left| \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) (n - |supp(C)|)_k - (n)_k f^\lambda \right| = \\ &= \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) (n - |supp(C)|)_k \right| \leq (n)_k \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) \right| \leq (n)_k \varepsilon f^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and our claim is proved. $\square$

The following asymptotic result from [AF] can also be generalized for the characters $\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}$.

Theorem AF Let $\chi_R^{(n)}$ and $\chi_{Conj}^{(n)}$ be the regular and the conjugacy characters of S_n respectively. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\|}{\|\chi_{Conj}^{(n)}\|} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{Conj}^{(n)} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{Conj}^{(n)}\|} = 1$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the norm with respect to the standard scalar product of characters.

Our generalization looks as follows:

Proposition 6.2. *In the notations of Theorem AF*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|(n)_k \chi_R^{(n)}\|}{\|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle (n)_k \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle}{\|(n)_k \chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} = 1,$$

where k is bounded or tends to infinity remaining less than n .

Proof. Denote for every $0 \leq k \leq n$

$$F_k(S_n) = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{((n - |supp(C)|)_k)^2}{|C|}.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|^2 &= \langle \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle = \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(\pi))^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C_\pi|^2 ((n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k)^2 = \\
&= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{(n!)^2}{|C(\pi)|^2} ((n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k)^2 = \\
&= n! \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \frac{((n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k)^2}{|C(\pi)|^2} = \\
&= n! \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} |C| \frac{((n - |\text{supp}(C)|)_k)^2}{|C|^2} = n! F_k(S_n)
\end{aligned}$$

It is well known that for every character χ of S_n :

$$\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi \rangle = \chi(e) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\chi_R^{(n)}\|^2 = \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_R^{(n)} \rangle = n!,$$

hence

$$\|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|^2 = F_k(S_n) \|\chi_R^{(n)}\|^2.$$

Moreover, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}} \rangle}{\|\chi_R^{(n)}\| \cdot \|\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}\|} &= \frac{\chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}(e)}{\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{n! F_k(S_n)}} = \\
&= \frac{(n)_k n!}{\sqrt{n!} \sqrt{n! F_k(S_n)}} = \frac{(n)_k}{\sqrt{F_k(S_n)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is obvious from the definition of $F_k(S_n)$ that:

$$((n)_k)^2 \leq F_k(S_n) \leq ((n)_k)^2 \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}.$$

In [AF] it is proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|} = 1$$

which establishes our claim. $\square$

7. THE REPRESENTATIONS α_M FOR $M = H_n^k$

In this section we deal with the representations $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ defined in Section 3. We use the branching rule and the Frobenius reciprocity to decompose these representations into irreducible representations of $S_n \times S_n$. As we have already seen in example 3.6, $\alpha_{H_n^0} \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$ while $\alpha_{H_n^1}$ is the regular representation of $S_n \times S_n \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda, \rho \vdash n} f^\lambda f^\rho S^\lambda \otimes S^\rho$ and thus $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ can be seen as a type of an interpolation between these two representations.

First, concerning the character of $\alpha_{H_n^k}$, by combining Proposition 5.2 and Claim 3.5 together we get:

$$\chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}(\pi, \sigma) = \begin{cases} |C_\pi|(n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k, & \pi \text{ and } \sigma \text{ are conjugate in } S_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We turn now to the direct calculation of the multiplicity of an irreducible representation of $S_n \times S_n$ in $\alpha_{H_n^k}$.

Proposition 7.1. *For any n and any $0 \leq k \leq n$*

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k$$

Proof. Recall that $t = |\text{supp}(\pi)|$.

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}, \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}} \rangle_{S_n \times S_n} = \\ &= \frac{1}{|S_n \times S_n|} \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) \in S_n \times S_n} \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}((\pi, \sigma)) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \sigma)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{(\pi, \sigma) : \pi \sim \sigma} \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}((\pi, \sigma)) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \sigma)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \sum_{\sigma : \pi \sim \sigma} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \pi)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C(\pi)| \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}((\pi, \pi)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C(\pi)| \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) |C_\pi|(n - t)_k = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C(\pi)| \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \frac{n!}{|C(\pi)|} (n - t)_k = \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k \end{aligned}$$

□

The boundary cases $k = 0$ and $k = n$ are discussed in Example 3.6 and Proposition 4.4 respectively.

We list now several simple corollaries from Proposition 7.

Corollary 7.2.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = m((\lambda', \mu'), \alpha_{H_n^k}),$$

where λ' is the partition conjugate to λ , and

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = m((\mu, \lambda), \alpha_{H_n^k}).$$

Proof. The first statement is due to the well known fact that $\chi_{\lambda'}(\pi) = \text{sgn}(\pi) \chi_\lambda(\pi)$. The second one is obvious. □

Note that $m(((n), (n)), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = 1$ since the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ is transitive on H_n^k . This implies the following corollary:

Corollary 7.3.

$$\sum_{\pi \in S_n} (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = n! \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

□

Corollary 7.4.

$$m(((n), 1^n), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = 0$$

Proof. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} m(((n), 1^n), \alpha_{H_n^k}) &= \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\pi) (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k < \\ &< \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (n - |\text{supp}(\pi)|)_k = m(((n), (n)), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

But $m(((n), 1^n), \alpha_{H_n^k})$ is a non negative integer, therefore it must be zero. □

7.1. A combinatorial view of $\alpha_{H_n^k}$. In this section we present another approach to the representation $\alpha_{H_n^k}$. This approach will give us a combinatorial view on the multiplicity formulas we calculated in the last section.

Definition 7.5. Define the following subset of H_n^k :

$$W_n^k = \{\pi_k \pi_{n-k} U_{n,k} \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k} \mid \pi_k, \sigma_k \in S_k \text{ and } \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}\}.$$

The set W_n^k is the orbit of the matrix $U_{n,k}$ under the action α restricted to the subgroup $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$.

Definition 7.6. Denote by $\omega_{n,k}$ the permutation representation of the group $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ on W_n^k corresponding to the action α .

Claim 7.7.

$$\omega_{n,k} \cong R_k \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash n-k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho \right)$$

where R_k is the regular representation of $S_k \times S_k$.

Proof. We can view the action α of $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ on W_n^k as composed of two independent actions. One of them is the action of $S_k \times S_k$ on H_k^k (this corresponds to the upper left block of $U_{n,k}$) and the other is an action of $S_{n-k} \times S_{n-k}$ on S_{n-k} (this corresponds to the lower right block of $U_{n,k}$). The permutation representation corresponding to the first action is actually the regular representation of $S_k \times S_k$ (see Proposition 4.4) while the second one is $\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash n-k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho$ (see Example 3.6). □

This implies the following:

Claim 7.8.

$$\chi_{\omega_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } \pi_k \neq e \text{ or } \sigma_k \neq e \\ 0 & \text{when } \pi_{n-k} \text{ is not conjugate to } \sigma_{n-k} \text{ in } S_{n-k} \\ (k!)^2 |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| & \text{when } \pi_k = \sigma_k = e \text{ and } \pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_{n-k} \text{ in } S_{n-k} \end{cases}$$

□

We can use $\omega_{n,k}$ to get information of $\alpha_{n,k}$.

Proposition 7.9.

$$\alpha_{H_n^k} = \omega_{n,k} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}$$

Proof. Write $G = S_n \times S_n$ and $H = (S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ and identify G/H with a prescribed set of left transversals of H in G . We clearly have:

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^k &= \{g \bullet U_{n,k} \mid g \in S_n \times S_n\} = \\ &= \{(\sigma h) \bullet U_{n,k} \mid \sigma \in G/H, h \in H\} = \\ &= \{\sigma \bullet (h \bullet U_{n,k}) \mid \sigma \in G/H, h \in H\} = \\ &= \{\sigma \bullet W_n^k \mid \sigma \in G/H\} = \\ &= \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \bullet W_n^k \end{aligned}$$

where $\sqcup$ denotes disjoint union.

This implies that

$$\alpha_{H_n^k} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G/H} \sigma \bullet \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} W_n^k = \omega_{n,k} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}$$

as claimed. $\square$

We use now the Frobenius reciprocity to obtain the multiplicity of any irreducible representation of $S_n \times S_n$ in $\alpha_{H_n^k}$.

Proposition 7.10. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ and let λ, μ be partitions of n . Then*

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}} \rangle$$

or in other words:

$$\alpha_{H_n^k} = \bigoplus_{\lambda, \mu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}} \rangle S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu.$$

Proof. Recall that $\chi_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ is the character of the irreducible representation $S^\lambda \otimes S^\mu$ of $S_n \times S_n$. Then, by Frobenius reciprocity, Claim 7.8 and Proposition 7.9 we have

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\omega_{n,k}} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\omega_{n,k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \downarrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k})} \chi_{\omega_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_{n-k}} \chi_{\omega_{n,k}}(\pi_{n-k}, \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}} |C^{n-k}(\pi_{n-k})| (k!)^2 |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| \chi_\lambda(\pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{\pi_{n-k} \in S_{n-k}} \chi_\lambda(\pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

The number $\langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle$ has a very nice combinatorial interpretation. It follows from the branching rule that this is just the number of ways to delete k boundary cells from the diagrams corresponding to the partitions λ and μ to get the same Young diagram of $n - k$ cells. By the branching rule (see Proposition 2.4) we have thus:

Claim 7.11.

$$\langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{when } |\lambda \triangle \mu| > 2k$$

and it does not vanish otherwise.

Corollary 7.12.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) = 0 \quad \text{when } |\lambda \triangle \mu| > 2k$$

and

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) \neq 0 \quad \text{when } |\lambda \triangle \mu| \leq 2k. \quad \square$$

7.2. Some asymptotic results. In this section we use the fact that $\beta_{H_n^k} = \alpha_{H_n^k} \downarrow_{S_n \times S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}$ to obtain some asymptotic results.

Embed S_n in $S_n \times S_n$ as the diagonal subgroup. For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \vdash n$, denote

$$\gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_\nu(\pi).$$

We have the following:

Claim 7.13. For $\lambda \vdash n$

$$S^\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \cong \bigoplus_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} S^\mu \otimes S^\nu$$

Proof. Let $\mu, \nu \vdash n$. By the Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\begin{aligned} m((\mu, \nu), S^\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}) &= \langle \chi_{(\mu, \nu)}, \chi_\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{(\mu, \nu)} \downarrow_{S_n \times S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_\mu(\pi) \chi_\nu(\pi) = \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: The numbers $\gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ appear in [Md, page 115] in the context of the Schur functions within the following formula:

$$s_\lambda(xy) = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} s_\mu(x) s_\nu(y),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$, $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$ and (xy) means the set of variables $x_i y_j$. We have now the following asymptotic result:

Proposition 7.14. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{\sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}}{(n)_k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. By Proposition 6.1 we have:

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k})}{(n)_k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

so we have to show that

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) = \sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}.$$

Indeed by the previous claims and the Frobenius reciprocity:

$$\begin{aligned} m(\lambda, \beta_{H_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{\beta_{H_n^k}}, \chi_\lambda \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}} \downarrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_\lambda \rangle = \langle \chi_{\alpha_{H_n^k}}, \chi_\lambda \uparrow_{S_n}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \langle \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\nu \downarrow_{S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Substituting in the above proposition $k = 0$ and $k = n$ we get the following:

Corollary 7.15.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \varepsilon &< \frac{\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\mu}}{f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon, \\ 1 - \varepsilon &< \frac{\sum_{\mu, \nu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\nu} f^\mu f^\nu}{n! f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark: The first statement of this corollary follows from Theorem R1 and the equality

$$\sum_{\mu \vdash n} \gamma_{\lambda\mu\mu} = \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C)$$

which follows from the character orthogonality relation.

8. THE ACTIONS α AND β ON COLORED PERMUTATIONS

In this section we introduce actions of S_n and $S_n \times S_n$ on another family of sets, namely the colored permutation groups. We start with the actions on $B_n = C_2 \wr S_n$.

8.1. The action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on signed permutations. Consider the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on B_n . We start by describing the orbits of this action.

Definition 8.1. For every $0 \leq k \leq n$ define

$$X_n^k = \{A \in B_n \mid A \text{ has exactly } k \text{ minuses}\}.$$

For example

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in X_4^2.$$

It is easy to see that the sets X_n^k form a partition of B_n . Also, note that $|X_n^k| = n! \binom{n}{k}$.

Claim 8.2. *Each set X_n^k is an orbit under the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on B_n , i.e.*

$$X_n^k = \{\pi \tilde{U}_{n,k} \sigma \mid \pi, \sigma \in S_n\},$$

where

$$\tilde{U}_{n,k} = \begin{pmatrix} -I_k & 0_{k \times (n-k)} \\ 0_{(n-k) \times k} & I_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$$

and I_t is the identity $t \times t$ matrix.

Proof. Let $A \in X_n^k$. Note that due to the semi direct decomposition $B_n = C_2^n \rtimes S_n$ we have $A = ZP$ where Z is a diagonal $n \times n$ matrix with only ± 1 -s on the main diagonal and P is a permutation matrix. Assuming that the rows numbered $i_1, \dots, i_k$ in A have minuses, denoting $\tau = (1, i_1)(2, i_2) \cdots (k, i_k)$ we have $\tau A P^{-1} \tau^{-1} = \tilde{U}_{n,k}$. $\square$

We decompose now the representations $\alpha_{X_n^k}$ into irreducible representations just as we did in the previous section.

Definition 8.3. *Define the following subset of X_n^k :*

$$\tilde{W}_n^k = \left\{ \pi_k \pi_{n-k} \tilde{U}_{n,k} \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k} \mid \pi_k, \sigma_k \in S_k \text{ and } \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_{n-k} \in S_{n-k} \right\}.$$

The set $\tilde{W}_n^k$ is the orbit of the matrix $\tilde{U}_{n,k}$ under the action α by the group $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$.

Definition 8.4. *Denote $\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}$ the permutation representation of the group $(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})$ which is obtained from the action α of this group on the set $\tilde{W}_n^k$.*

The proof of the following simple observation is similar to the proof of Claim 7.7.

Claim 8.5.

$$\tilde{\omega}_{n,k} \cong \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{\rho \vdash n-k} S^\rho \otimes S^\rho \right)$$

$$\chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \begin{cases} |C_{\pi_k}^k| |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| & \text{when } \pi_k \pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k} \text{ in } S_k \times S_{n-k} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$\square$

Proposition 8.6.

$$\alpha_{X_n^k} = \tilde{\omega}_{n,k} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}$$

Proof. Very similar to the proof of Prop. 7.9. $\square$

Recall from [Sa] the definition of $c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda$ – the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients defined by the following formula:

$$(S^\rho \otimes S^\nu) \uparrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda S^\lambda,$$

where $\rho \vdash k$ and $\nu \vdash n - k$. Using the Frobenius reciprocity formula we have for every $\lambda \vdash n$:

Claim 8.7.

$$S^\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} = \bigoplus_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda (S^\rho \otimes S^\nu).$$

$$\chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} = \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda \chi_{(\rho, \nu)}.$$

□

We use now the Frobenius reciprocity to obtain the multiplicity of any irreducible representation of $S_n \times S_n$ in $\alpha_{X_n^k}$.

Proposition 8.8. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\lambda, \mu \vdash n$.*

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{X_n^k}) &= \\ &= \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{X_n^k}) &= \langle \chi_{\alpha_{X_n^k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}} \uparrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \rangle = \\ &= \langle \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}, \chi_{(\lambda, \mu)} \downarrow_{(S_k \times S_{n-k}) \times (S_k \times S_{n-k})}^{S_n \times S_n} \rangle = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k})} \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_k \pi_{n-k} \sim \sigma_k \sigma_{n-k}} \chi_{\tilde{\omega}_{n,k}}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}, \pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{(k!)^2 ((n-k)!)^2} \sum_{\pi_k \pi_{n-k}} |C^{k \times (n-k)}(\pi_k \pi_{n-k})| |C_{\pi_k}^k| |C_{\pi_{n-k}}^{n-k}| \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \frac{1}{k!(n-k)!} \sum_{\pi_k \pi_{n-k} \in S_k \times S_{n-k}} \chi_\lambda(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) \chi_\mu(\pi_k \pi_{n-k}) = \\ &= \langle \chi_\lambda \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n}, \chi_\mu \downarrow_{S_k \times S_{n-k}}^{S_n} \rangle = \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Claim 8.7. □

By the definition of X_n^k we have $\alpha_{B_n} = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \alpha_{X_n^k}$ and thus:

Corollary 8.9.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{B_n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu.$$

□

There is a natural mapping between the sets H_n^k and X_n^k defined by:

$$H_n^k \ni \pi U_{n,k} \sigma \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}} \pi \tilde{U}_{n,k} \sigma \in X_n^k$$

One can verify that $\tilde{T}$ is well defined. Moreover, $\tilde{T}$ commutes with the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on X_n^k , i.e.:

$$\tilde{T}(\pi A \sigma) = \pi \tilde{T}(A) \sigma \text{ for any } A \in X_n^k.$$

It is easy to see that $\tilde{T}$ is also surjective and thus it induces epimorphisms of modules from the $S_n \times S_n$ -module $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ to the $S_n \times S_n$ -module $\alpha_{X_n^k}$ and from the S_n -module $\beta_{H_n^k}$ to the S_n -module $\beta_{X_n^k}$. Note also that for $k = 0$ this mapping is the identity mapping since $H_n^0 = X_n^0 = S_n$ and for $k = 1$ this mapping is bijective. We conclude:

Claim 8.10.

$$m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{H_n^k}) \geq m((\lambda, \mu), \alpha_{X_n^k})$$

□

This implies that if

$$\sum_{\rho \vdash k, \nu \vdash n-k} c_{\rho\nu}^\lambda c_{\rho\nu}^\mu \neq 0$$

then $|\lambda \triangle \mu| \leq 2k$. This can also be seen by the combinatorial interpretation of the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.

8.2. The action β on colored permutations. Recall that every matrix $B \in B_n$ can be written uniquely in the form $B = Z\pi$ for some $\pi \in S_n$ and some $Z \in C_2^n$. There exists a natural epimorphism from B_n onto S_n defined by omitting the minuses:

$$p : B_n \longrightarrow S_n \quad p(Z\pi) = \pi.$$

If we restrict p to X_n^k we obtain a surjective mapping from X_n^k onto S_n which commutes with the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on X_n^k (and clearly also commutes with the action β of S_n on X_n^k by conjugation). It gives us a surjective homomorphism from the representation $\beta_{X_n^k}$ onto the conjugacy representation representation of S_n denoted by ψ . Therefore, using the result of [F], we have

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k}) \geq m(\lambda, \psi) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n,$$

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{B_n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k}) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n.$$

Although the calculation of $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}$ is rather involved the asymptotic results of [R] and [AF] can be generalized for the representations $\beta_{X_n^k}$ and β_{B_n} . We start by presenting the generalization of Theorem R1:

Proposition 8.11. *For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,*

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k})}{\binom{n}{k} f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{B_n})}{2^n f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in S_n$. We have to estimate $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) = \{B \in X_n^k \mid B\pi = \pi B\}$. If $B \in X_n^k$ commutes with π then $p(B)$ commutes with $p(\pi) = \pi$ and thus

$$|\{B \in X_n^k \mid \pi B = B\pi\}| \leq |\{B \in X_n^k \mid p(B) \in C_\pi\}| = \binom{n}{k} |C_\pi|.$$

By the same considerations we get:

$$\chi_{\beta_{B_n}}(\pi) = \#\{B \in B_n \mid \pi B = B\pi\} \leq 2^n |C_\pi|.$$

In [R] it is shown that for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ there exist $0 < \delta(\varepsilon)$ and $N(\varepsilon)$ such that, for any partition λ of $n > N(\varepsilon)$ with $\max\{\frac{\lambda_1}{n}, \frac{\lambda'_1}{n}\} \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$,

$$|m(\lambda) - f^\lambda| = \left| \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \chi_\lambda(C) - f^\lambda \right| = \left| \sum_{C \neq id} \chi_\lambda(C) \right| \leq \varepsilon f^\lambda,$$

which immediately implies Theorem R1. (Here $m(\lambda)$ denotes the multiplicity of the irreducible representation λ in the conjugacy representation of S_n .) In our case we have $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(e) = |X_n^k| = \binom{n}{k} n!$ and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |m(\lambda, \beta_{X_n^k}) - \binom{n}{k} f^\lambda| &= \left| \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{e \neq \pi \in S_n} \chi_\lambda(\pi) \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{e \neq \pi \in S_n} |\chi_\lambda(\pi)| |C_\pi| = \binom{n}{k} \sum_{\{e\} \neq C \in \hat{S}_n} |\chi_\lambda(C)| \leq \binom{n}{k} \varepsilon f^\lambda \end{aligned}$$

which establishes the first statement. Substituting 2^n instead of $\binom{n}{k}$ in this calculation we get the second statement. $\square$

The generalization of Theorem [AF] is as follows:

Proposition 8.12. *In the notations of Theorem [AF]*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| \binom{n}{k} \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| 2^n \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \|} = 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \|} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

where k is bounded or tends to infinity remaining less than n .

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \chi_R^{(n)} \|^2 &= \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_R^{(n)} \rangle = n!, \quad \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \rangle = \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(e) = \binom{n}{k} n! \\ &\text{and } \langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \rangle = \chi_{\beta_{B_n}}(e) = 2^n n!. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \leq \binom{n}{k} |C_\pi|$ of Proposition 8.11 we can write

$$\| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left(\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \right)^2 \leq \frac{1}{n!} \binom{n}{k}^2 \sum_{\pi \in S_n} |C_\pi|^2 = \binom{n}{k}^2 n! \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}.$$

Also we obviously have

$$\|\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}\|^2 = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} \left(\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(\pi) \right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{n!} \left(\chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}}(e) \right)^2 = \binom{n}{k}^2 n!.$$

Taking the two last inequalities together we have:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}}} = \frac{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}}{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!} \sqrt{\sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}}} \leq \frac{\| \binom{n}{k} \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}}{\binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}} = 1.$$

Also

$$\frac{\binom{n}{k} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!} \sqrt{\sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|}}} \leq \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} = \frac{\binom{n}{k} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \| \chi_{\beta_{X_n^k}} \|} \leq \frac{\binom{n}{k} n!}{\sqrt{n!} \binom{n}{k} \sqrt{n!}} = 1.$$

Substituting 2^n instead of $\binom{n}{k}$ we get similar inequalities for $\| \chi_{\beta_{B_n}} \|$. In [AF] it is proved that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{C \in \hat{S}_n} \frac{1}{|C|} = 1$$

and thus using the above inequalities the proof is finished. $\square$

These asymptotic results can be also obtained for the action β (conjugation by permutations) on the group $C_r \wr S_n$. Similarly to $X_n^k \subset B_n$ define the sets $Y_n^k \subset C_r \wr S_n$:

Definition 8.13.

$$Y_n^k = \{ A \in C_r \wr S_n \mid A \text{ has exactly } k \text{ entries } \neq 0, 1 \}.$$

Note that the sets Y_n^k form a partition of $C_r \wr S_n$ and $Y_n^k = n! \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^k$. The sets Y_n^k are closed under the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ but they are not transitive under this action.

Consider C_r^n as the group of diagonal matrices with the entries of the form ω^ℓ (where $\omega = \exp \frac{2\pi i}{r}$ – the primitive r -th root of unity and $0 \leq \ell < r$) on the diagonal. Then each matrix $A \in C_r \wr S_n$ can be uniquely written as $A = Z\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in S_n$ and some $Z \in C_r^n$. Just as in the case of B_n , we consider the epimorphism $p : B_n \rightarrow S_n$ defined by: $p(Z\sigma) = \sigma$.

p induces an epimorphism of modules between $\beta_{Y_n^k}$ and the conjugacy representation of S_n .

We conclude, using the result of [F]:

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{Y_n^k}) \geq m(\lambda, \psi) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n,$$

$$m(\lambda, \beta_{C_r \wr S_n}) = \sum_{k=0}^n m(\lambda, \beta_{Y_n^k}) > 0 \quad \text{for any } \lambda \vdash n.$$

The Theorems R1 and AF are obtained in a way similar to the one we used for B_n :

Proposition 8.14. *In the conditions and notations of Theorem R1*

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{Y_n^k})}{\binom{n}{k} (r-1)^k f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$1 - \varepsilon < \frac{m(\lambda, \beta_{C_r \wr S_n})}{r^n f^\lambda} < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

In the notations of Theorem AF

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| \binom{n}{k} (r-1)^k \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{Y_n^k}} \|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\| r^n \chi_R^{(n)} \|}{\| \chi_{\beta_{C_r \wr S_n}} \|} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{Y_n^k}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{Y_n^k}} \|} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \chi_R^{(n)}, \chi_{\beta_{C_r \wr S_n}} \rangle}{\| \chi_R^{(n)} \| \cdot \| \chi_{\beta_{C_r \wr S_n}} \|} = 1,$$

where k is bounded or tends to infinity remaining less than n .

9. APPENDIX

We defined the sets H_n^k in order to study the representations $\beta_{H_n^k}$ and $\alpha_{H_n^k}$. These representations can be obtained from the action β of S_n and the action α of $S_n \times S_n$ on some other sets of matrices instead of H_n^k :

1) In the definition of the matrix $U_{n,k}$ change only one thing: put the upper left $k \times (n-k)$ block to be a zero matrix instead of ones in $U_{n,k}$. Taking the orbit of this matrix under the action (1) of $S_n \times S_n$ we have a natural bijection between the obtained set (this orbit) and H_n^k which preserves the action (1). So we get the representations which are isomorphic to the representations $\beta_{H_n^k}$ and $\alpha_{H_n^k}$.

2) Instead of H_n^k we can take the following subset of $C_{k+1} \wr S_n$:

$$\left\{ A \in C_{k+1} \wr S_n \mid A \text{ has } \omega, \omega^2, \dots, \omega^k \text{ exactly once} \right. \\ \left. \text{and all the other is as in a permutation matrix.} \right\}$$

The representations α and β obtained from the corresponding actions on these sets are also isomorphic to $\alpha_{H_n^k}$ and $\beta_{H_n^k}$.

REFERENCES

- [AF] R. M. ADIN AND A. FRUMKIN, *The conjugacy character tends to be regular*, Israel J. Math. **59** (1987), 234–240.
- [CS] Y. CHERNIAVSKY AND M. SKLARZ, *On conjugation action of S_n on invertible matrices*, Preprint, available on the website: www.math.biu.ac.il/~cherniy
- [F] A. FRUMKIN, *Theorem about the conjugacy representation of S_n* , Israel J. Math. **55** (1986), 121–128.
- [I] IWAHORI N. *On an equivalence relation among $(0,1)$ -matrices*, Sci.Papers College Gen.Ed.Univ.Tokyo **21**(1971), 1-9.
- [Li] LI J.S. *Permutation equivalence of $(0,1)$ - square matrices*, Acta Math.Sinica **26** (1983), no.5, 586-596.
- [Md] I. G. MACDONALD, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, second edition, Oxford Math. Monographs, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [MM] MADER A., MUTZBAUER O., *Double orderings of $(0,1)$ -matrices*, Ars Combin. **61**(2001), 81-95.
- [R] Y. ROICHMAN, *Decomposition of the conjugacy representation of the symmetric groups*, Israel J. Math. **97** (1997), 305–316.
- [Sa] B. SAGAN, *The symmetric group: representations, combinatorial algorithms, and symmetric functions*, Springer Verlag (2001).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS BAR-ILAN UNIVERSITY RAMAT-GAN, ISRAEL
52900

E-mail address: `cherniy@math.biu.ac.il` , `bagnoe@math.biu.ac.il`